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## Temperature dependence of the crystal structure of 3,5-di-tert-butylpyrazole from 10 K

A single crystal of 3,5-di-tert-butylpyrazole has been the subject of structure determinations at $10,100,120$ and 299 K . Above $\sim 110 \mathrm{~K}$, the structure is $P b c a, a \simeq 11.3, b \simeq 20.6, c \simeq$ $10 \AA, Z=8$. Below 110 K , the $c$ axis is doubled and the space group becomes $P b 2_{1} a, Z=16$. Here the asymmetric unit is comprised of four molecules, arranged as a pair of overlapping hydrogen-bonded dimers, all components being ordered. In each case one methyl group of each $t$-butyl group lies coplanar with its parent pyrazole ring and, within each quasi-inversionrelated dimer, one molecule has both of these methyl groups directed away from the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ component 'cis', while in the other, one methyl group is toward ('trans'). Above 110 K the four molecules collapse into one, with one $t$-butyl group (corresponding to an overlaid 'cis/trans' pair of the low-temperature form) modelled as disordered. The disorder has been previously described as 'temperature dependent' (Deacon et al., 2001), but the present studies suggest it to be dependent on the history of the particular specimen as well.

## 1. Introduction

A wide range of single-crystal diffraction studies of a variety of simple azoles at diverse temperatures has been executed previously with the intent of defining and understanding the nature of their protonic hydrogen distribution, with respect to both tautomerism and hydrogen bonding. Of particular interest in these respects are the studies carried out on various 3,5-disubstituted pyrazoles where diffraction experiments have been augmented by spectroscopic and theoretical studies (Alkorta et al., 1999; Baldy et al., 1985; Goddard et al., 1999; Llamas-Saiz et al., 1995; Smith et al., 1989). In particular, the same group has investigated the 3,5 -di-tert-butyl analogue using combined room-temperature X-ray diffraction (CCD data) and variable-temperature, multi-nuclear solid-state NMR studies (Aguilar-Parrilla et al., 1995). Their results are consistent with the interesting dynamic behaviour of the protonic H atoms being accompanied by rotation of the tertbutyl groups within the lattice. The current investigation was initiated because, during the course of studies of lanthanoid(III) complexes with the 3,5-di-tert-butylpyrazole anion at $\sim 153 \mathrm{~K}$, we revisited the structure of the parent azole at that temperature (Deacon et al., 2001). It was found that at $\sim 153 \mathrm{~K}$, rotational disorder was seen in only one of the tertbutyl groups, that attached to ring position 5 (consistent with our numbering), but that at room temperature disorder became evident in both tert-butyl groups, 3 and 5, whose behavior is at some variance with the literature data (AguilarParrilla et al., 1995), wherein disorder was described only for group 5 (see schematic formula). With the local availability of a four-circle instrument operating at 10 K , we extended our
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studies to that lower temperature and record the results herein.


## 2. Experimental

A preliminary study, in which a specimen was allowed to cool rapidly without undue precaution, showed that at low temperature a phase transition had occurred. It was found that the crystal had retained its integrity and the diffraction pattern its orthorhombic symmetry, but that doubling of an axis had occurred with a change of space group. A phase transition was subsequently determined to be $\sim 110 \mathrm{~K}$, with the capability to cycle reversibly through it (at the level of cell size and


Figure 1
Representative scans along $00 l$ at either side of the phase transition, ' 116 ' and ' 115 ' K in this particular experiment, the exact values somewhat dependent on the rate of cooling.


Figure 2
Unit-cell contents of the 'low-temperature' phase, projected down the (orthorhombic) $c$ axis.
symmetry), although subsequent experiments suggested the possibility of occasional associated physical damage as a cause for care. A new specimen, $0.44 \times 0.34 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$, enclosed in a glass capillary to prevent sublimation, was mounted and cooled slowly to 10 K , while monitoring peak profiles along $00 l$, to detect the axis doubling, the initial individual peak widths remaining constant (Fig. 1). A hemisphere of data was measured at 10 K , the crystal then being allowed to warm successively to 100,120 and 299 K where unique data sets were measured; finally, an independent room-temperature data set was measured on the same specimen using a Bruker AXS CCD instrument, yielding identical refinement behavior, attempted refinement in lower symmetry proving (inherently) fruitless.

Data sets were measured with a locally assembled Huber 512 goniometer equipped with a Displex 202D cryogenic refrigerator (Henriksen et al., 1986; Larsen, 1995) and using a $\beta$-filtered Mo $K \alpha$ X-ray source, by an $\omega / 2 \theta$ scan procedure with locally created diffractometer-control software. An absorption correction for the beryllium shield was performed using the program PROFIT (Streltsov \& Zavodnik, 1989) and for the crystal [Gaussian correction, $\mu_{\mathrm{Mo}}=0.06 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$, $T_{\min , \max }=0.98,0.99$ (all cases); Hall et al., 2000]. A full-matrix least-squares refinement procedure was used (Sheldrick, 1997), minimizing $w\left(F_{o}^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)$, with $w=\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(A P)^{2}+\right.$ $B P]^{-1}$, where $P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3$. Agreement factors $R=\Sigma| | F_{o} \mid$ $-\left|F_{c}\right||/ \Sigma| F_{o} \mid, w R_{2}=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{o}^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}$ and GOOF $=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{o}^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] /(n-p)\right\}^{1 / 2}$ are cited, where $n$ is the number of reflections and $p$ the total number of parameters refined. Anisotropic displacement parameter forms were refined for the non-H atoms; for the low-temperature $(10 \mathrm{~K})$ phase ( $x, y$, $z)_{H}$ were also refined, with associated isotropic displacement parameters being constrained to equivalence within each methyl group. For the 100 and 120 K studies, methyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances were allowed to vary, $U_{H}$ constrained to $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}$ (parent carbon). In the 299 K study, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances were fixed at $0.96 \AA$. Table 1 summarizes the data collection and refinement details; Table 2 gives selected geometries as determined from the 10 K study. CCDC 230155-230158 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. ${ }^{1}$

## 3. Results and discussion

The high-temperature form of 3,5-di-tert-butylpyrazole packs in the centrosymmetric orthorhombic space group Pbca (No. 61) with an asymmetric unit composed of one formula unit, devoid of crystallographic symmetry, and with disordered tertbutyl and protonic hydrogen functions. Dimers in which the molecules are linked via $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds and related by crystallographic inversion centres stack obliquely up the $c$ axis $(\sim 10 \AA)$. In the low-temperature form the $c$ axis

[^1]Table 1
Experimental table.

|  | 10 K | 100 K | 120 K | 299 K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crystal data |  |  |  |  |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ |
| $M_{r}$ | 180.29 | 180.29 | 180.29 | 180.29 |
| Cell setting, space group | Orthorhombic, $P b 2_{1} a$ | Orthorhombic, $\mathrm{Pb2}_{1} a$ | Orthorhombic, Pbca | Orthorhombic, Pbca |
| $a, b, c$ ( A ) | $\begin{aligned} & 11.279(2), 20.634(4) \\ & 19.542 \text { (4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.366(2), 20.654(5), \\ & 19.723(4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.356(2), 20.637(4), \\ & 9.850(2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.482(1), 21.130(2), \\ & 9.992(1) \end{aligned}$ |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 4548.0 (15) | 4630.0 (17) | 2308.4 (8) | 2424.2 (4) |
| $Z$ | 16 | 16 | 8 | 8 |
| $D_{x}\left(\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.053 | 1.035 | 1.038 | 0.988 |
| $(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\text {max }}$ | $0.594_{6}$ | $0.594_{6}$ | $0.594_{6}$ | $0.705_{0}$ |
| Radiation type | Mo $K \alpha$ | Mo $K \alpha$ | Mo $K \alpha$ | Mo $K \alpha$ |
| No. of reflections for cell parameters | 14 | 14 | 14 | 24 |
| $\theta$ range ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 16.0-20.1 | 16.0-16.6 | 16.0-16.8 | 12.8-13.8 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Temperature (K) | 10 (2) | 100 (2) | 120 (2) | 299 (2) |
| Crystal form, colour | Prism, colourless | Prism, colourless | Prism, colourless | Prism, colourless |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.44 \times 0.34 \times 0.20$ | $0.44 \times 0.34 \times 0.20$ | $0.44 \times 0.34 \times 0.20$ | $0.44 \times 0.34 \times 0.20$ |
| Data collection |  |  |  |  |
| Diffractometer | Huber 512 goniometer | Huber 512 goniometer | Huber 512 goniometer | Huber 512 goniometer |
| Data collection method | $\omega-2 \theta$ scan | $\omega-2 \theta$ scan | $\omega-2 \theta$ scan | $\omega-2 \theta$ scan |
| Absorption correction | Gaussian | Gaussian | Gaussian | Gaussian |
| $T_{\text {min }}$ | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.979 | 0.979 |
| $T_{\text {max }}$ | 0.988 | 0.988 | 0.987 | 0.988 |
| No. of measured, independent and observed reflections | $15825,4162,3744$ | 4203, 4203, 3456 | 2043, 2043, 1419 | 3550, 3550, 1686 |
| Criterion for observed reflections | $I>2 \sigma(I)$ | $I>2 \sigma(I)$ | $I>2 \sigma(I)$ | $I>2 \sigma(I)$ |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.070 | - | - | - |
| $\theta_{\text {max }}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 25.0 | 25.1 | 25.1 | 30.1 |
| Range of $h, k, l$ | $0 \Rightarrow h \Rightarrow 13$ | $0 \Rightarrow h \Rightarrow 13$ | $0 \Rightarrow h \Rightarrow 13$ | $0 \Rightarrow h \Rightarrow 16$ |
|  | $-24 \Rightarrow k \Rightarrow 24$ | $0 \Rightarrow k \Rightarrow 24$ | $0 \Rightarrow k \Rightarrow 24$ | $0 \Rightarrow k \Rightarrow 29$ |
|  | $-23 \Rightarrow l \Rightarrow 23$ | $0 \Rightarrow l \Rightarrow 23$ | $0 \Rightarrow l \Rightarrow 11$ | $0 \Rightarrow l \Rightarrow 14$ |
| No. and frequency of standard reflections | 3 every 100 reflections | 3 every 100 reflections | 3 every 100 reflections | 3 every 100 reflections |
| Intensity decay (\%) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Refinement |  |  |  |  |
| Refinement on | $F^{2}$ | $F^{2}$ | $F^{2}$ | $F^{2}$ |
| $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right], w R\left(F^{2}\right), S$ | 0.040, 0.102, 1.07 | 0.079, 0.226, 1.15 | 0.063, 0.162, 1.08 | 0.090, 0.335, 0.99 |
| Weighting coefficient ( $A$ ) | 0.0639 | 0.1043 | 0.0721 | 0.1631 |
| Weighting coefficient ( $B$ ) | 0.7985 | 4.3675 | 0.8688 | 0.6552 |
| No. of reflections | 4162 | 4203 | 2043 | 3550 |
| No. of parameters | 742 | 524 | 164 | 175 |
| H -atom treatment | Mixture of independent and constrained refinement | Mixture of independent and constrained refinement | Mixture of independent and constrained refinement | Mixture of independent and constrained refinement |
| Weighting scheme | $\begin{aligned} w= & 1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.0639 P)^{2}\right. \\ & +0.7985 P], \text { where } P= \\ & \left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} w= & 1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.1043 P)^{2}\right. \\ & +4.3675 P], \text { where } P= \\ & \left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} w= & 1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.0721 P)^{2}\right. \\ & +0.8688 P], \text { where } P= \\ & \left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.1631 P)^{2}+\right. \\ & 0.6552 P], \text { where } P= \\ & \left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}$ | 0.044 | 0.003 | <0.0001 | 0.009 |
| $\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}, \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | 0.28, -0.21 | $0.45,-0.31$ | 0.23, -0.19 | 0.20, -0.21 |
| Extinction method | SHELXL | None | SHELXL | SHELXL |
| Extinction coefficient | 0.0012 (4) | - | 0.0044 (18) | 0.034 (7) |

Computer programs used: Local diffractometer control software, PROFIT (Streltsov \& Zavodnik, 1989).
is doubled to $\sim 19.5 \AA$ (Table 1), with the lattice symmetry degraded to non-centrosymmetric $P b 2_{1} a$, a non-standard setting of No. 29, which preserves the relationship of the cell axes between the two forms. Four independent molecules comprise the asymmetric unit and are arranged as a pair of (previously centrosymmetric) dimers. In superposition down the $c$ axis (Fig. 2), the originally coincident dimers are now displaced by $\sim 0.2 \AA$. The constitution of this cluster of four
molecules is quite remarkable. No disorder is found within either the protonic hydrogen or tert-butyl components, i.e. the structure is now fully ordered. Noting the pairing as $(A B)$ overlaid above $(C D)$, we find that the tert-butyl groups are all disposed with one of the methyl groups, denoted (3/5)2, quasicoplanar with the parent pyrazole ring. In molecules $A$ and $C$ these methyl groups are both directed away from the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ components of their molecules ('cis'), but in molecules $B$ and

Table 2
Bond lengths ( A ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for 3,5-di-tert-butylpyrazole ( 10 K ).

| Parameter/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| molecule | A(cis) | B(trans) | C(cis) | $D($ trans $)$ | Average |
| N1-H1 | $0.83(4)$ | $0.91(4)$ | $0.86(5)$ | $0.86(5)$ | $0.87(3)$ |
| N1-H1 | $2.10(4)$ | $2.05(4)$ | $2.06(5)$ | $2.09(5)$ | $2.08(2)$ |
| N1․N2 | $2.862(4)$ | $2.865(4)$ | $2.845(4)$ | $2.866(4)$ | $2.860(9)$ |
| N1-N2 | $1.372(4)$ | $1.364(4)$ | $1.361(4)$ | $1.359(4)$ | $1.364(4)$ |
| N1-C5 | $1.341(4)$ | $1.343(4)$ | $1.345(4)$ | $1.345(4)$ | $1.344(2)$ |
| N2-C3 | $1.335(4)$ | $1.345(4)$ | $1.345(4)$ | $1.341(4)$ | $1.342(4)$ |
| C3-C4 | $1.411(4)$ | $1.403(4)$ | $1.409(4)$ | $1.405(4)$ | $1.407(3)$ |
| C3-C31 | $1.519(4)$ | $1.518(4)$ | $1.521(4)$ | $1.517(4)$ | $1.519(2)$ |
| C31-C32 | $1.534(4)$ | $1.535(4)$ | $1.529(4)$ | $1.527(4)$ | $1.533(3)$ |
| C31-C33 | $1.531(4)$ | $1.541(4)$ | $1.535(4)$ | $1.546(4)$ | $1.538(6)$ |
| C31-C34 | $1.552(4)$ | $1.536(4)$ | $1.536(4)$ | $1.540(4)$ | $1.541(7)$ |
| C4-C5 | $1.377(4)$ | $1.391(4)$ | $1.381(4)$ | $1.383(4)$ | $1.383(5)$ |
| C5-C51 | $1.516(4)$ | $1.518(4)$ | $1.519(4)$ | $1.514(4)$ | $1.517(2)$ |
| C51-C52 | $1.528(4)$ | $1.528(4)$ | $1.531(4)$ | $1.536(4)$ | $1.531(3)$ |
| C51-C53 | $1.548(4)$ | $1.533(4)$ | $1.538(4)$ | $1.542(4)$ | $1.540(6)$ |
| C51-C54 | $1.534(4)$ | $1.535(4)$ | $1.538(4)$ | $1.541(4)$ | $1.537(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N1-H1-N2' | $152(3)$ | $149(3)$ | $152(4)$ | $149(4)$ | $151(2)$ |
| C5-N1-N2 | $113.2(3)$ | $113.0(3)$ | $113.2(3)$ | $113.3(3)$ | $113.2(1)$ |
| C3-N2-N1 | $104.3(2)$ | $104.7(2)$ | $104.5(2)$ | $104.5(2)$ | $104.5(1)$ |
| N2-C3-C4 | $110.5(3)$ | $110.5(3)$ | $110.4(3)$ | $110.5(3)$ | $110.48(4)$ |
| N2-C3-C31 | $120.0(3)$ | $119.5(3)$ | $120.0(3)$ | $119.9(3)$ | $119.9(2)$ |
| C4-C3-C31 | $129.5(3)$ | $129.9(3)$ | $129.5(3)$ | $129.6(3)$ | $129.6(2)$ |
| C3-C31-C32 | $110.3(2)$ | $109.6(3)$ | $109.9(2)$ | $109.9(3)$ | $109.9(3)$ |
| C3-C31-C33 | $109.8(2)$ | $109.4(2)$ | $109.7(2)$ | $108.5(2)$ | $109.4(5)$ |
| C3-C31-C34 | $109.5(2)$ | $109.7(2)$ | $109.2(2)$ | $110.0(3)$ | $109.6(3)$ |
| C32-C31-C33 | $109.4(3)$ | $109.2(3)$ | $109.7(3)$ | $109.7(3)$ | $109.5(2)$ |
| C32-C31-C34 | $109.0(2)$ | $109.8(3)$ | $109.0(3)$ | $109.6(3)$ | $109.4(4)$ |
| C33-C31-C34 | $108.8(3)$ | $109.1(3)$ | $109.3(3)$ | $109.0(3)$ | $109.1(2)$ |
| C3-C4-C5 | $106.2(3)$ | $105.9(3)$ | $106.0(3)$ | $106.1(3)$ | $106.1(1)$ |
| N1-C5-C4 | $105.8(3)$ | $105.9(3)$ | $106.0(3)$ | $105.6(3)$ | $105.8(2)$ |
| N1-C5-C51 | $121.6(3)$ | $122.5(3)$ | $121.7(3)$ | $122.7(3)$ | $122.1(5)$ |
| C4-C5-C51 | $132.6(3)$ | $131.6(3)$ | $132.3(3)$ | $131.7(3)$ | $132.1(4)$ |
| C5-C51-C52 | $109.6(2)$ | $110.4(2)$ | $109.3(2)$ | $110.4(2)$ | $109.9(5)$ |
| C5-C51-C53 | $109.8(2)$ | $109.3(2)$ | $109.0(2)$ | $108.8(2)$ | $109.2(4)$ |
| C5-C51-C54 | $109.7(2)$ | $109.1(2)$ | $110.1(2)$ | $109.4(2)$ | $109.6(4)$ |
| C52-C51-C53 | $109.3(2)$ | $109.4(3)$ | $109.0(2)$ | $109.4(2)$ | $109.3(2)$ |
| C52-C51-C54 | $109.6(2)$ | $109.6(2)$ | $109.5(2)$ | $109.9(2)$ | $109.57(5)$ |
| C53-C51-C54 | $108.8(2)$ | $108.9(3)$ | $109.9(2)$ | $108.9(2)$ | $109.1(5)$ |

Average distances and angles for tert-butyl groups

| $\mathrm{C} 31-\mathrm{C} 3 x$ | $1.537(7)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3,5-\mathrm{C} 31,51$ | $1.518(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 51-\mathrm{C} 5 x$ | $1.536(6)$ | $\mathrm{C} 31,51-\mathrm{C} 31 x, 51 x$ | $1.536(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 31-\mathrm{C} 3 x$ | $109.6(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 31 x-\mathrm{C} 31-\mathrm{C} 31 x^{\prime}$ | $109.3(3)$ |
| C5-C51-C5x | $109.6(5)$ | C51x-C51-C51x | $109.4(4)$ |
| Average | $109.6(5)$ | Average | $109.3(3)$ |

$D$ only the methyl in position 3 points away, the other, 5 , being directed toward N2 ('trans'). The protonic H atoms are all located on N1 atoms, arguably the less crowded site in the trans form (Fig. 3). As superposed in the cluster, tert-butyl groups 3 are similar, but groups 5 are different within each pair $A / D, B / C$. Comparison of the non-H atom geometries in the four molecules (Table 2) shows that, whereas $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ distances are similar about $\mathrm{N} 1,2$, there is, unsurprisingly, a considerable difference in the angles subtended at these atoms, those at protonated N 1 being greater than those at N 2 by almost $10^{\circ}$. These differences are propagated to the angles at $\mathrm{C}(3,5)$, which are independent of the tert-butyl dispositions. Also, the tert-butyl substituents have little impact on the magnitudes of the exocyclic angles, but it was observed that for all rings the exoxyclic angles at C3,5 toward C4 are larger


(a)


(b)



Figure 3
$(a, b)$. The two pairs of molecules comprising the asymmetric unit of the low-temperature form at $10 \mathrm{~K} ; 50 \%$ probability amplitude displacement ellipsoids being shown for the non- H atoms here and elsewhere; H atoms, where shown, have an arbitrary radius of $0.08 \AA$. (c) The asymmetric unit with its inversion image at 120 K .
than those towards nitrogen. The results of the determination carried out at 100 K essentially replicate these at 10 K after due allowance for the influence of temperature increase, although the displacement of the $A B / D C$ pairs in superposition is slightly reduced to $\sim 0.14 \AA$. At 120 K , the structure has converted to $P b c a$, requiring only one molecule per asymmetric unit. Only one protonic hydrogen site is observed, still in association with N 1 , with disorder being observed only at tert-butyl 5, which may be envisaged as a coalescence of the contributions of superimposed constituent pairs. Interestingly, site-occupancy refinement for the two components does not converge to $0.5: 0.5$ but, rather, 0.663 (5):0.337 (5) in favour of the 'trans' component and was constrained ultimately to $2: 1$. However, whether this ratio implies any deeper significance is doubtful since at 299 K this occupancy became 0.52 (1) and was constrained at 0.5 in the final refinement. No disorder was observed in tert-butyl 3 and only one protonic hydrogen site was observed. These latter observations are of interest as they are at variance with the two previous room-temperature studies, which are, in turn, at variance with each other, suggesting that the history of the individual specimen may be of significance in determining its behavior in this regard.
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